UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY CIVIL ACTION NO 16-MD-2738 (FLW) (LHG)

IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON : TRANSCRIPT OF

POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, : STATUS CONFERENCE SALES PRACTICES.

: <u>SEPTEMBER 27</u>, 2018

CLARKSON S. FISHER UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE

402 EAST STATE STREET, TRENTON, NJ 08608

B E F O R E: THE HONORABLE FREDA L. WOLFSON, USDJ

APPEARANCES:

BEASLEY ALLEN, ESQUIRES

BY: P. LEIGH O'DELL, ESQUIRE (ALABAMA)

-and-

ASHCRAFT & GEREL, ESQUIRES

BY: MICHELLE A. PARFITT, ESQUIRE (VIRGINIA)

-and-

LEVIN PAPANTONIO, ESQUIRES

BY: CHRISTOPHER V. TISI, ESQUIRE (FLORIDA)

-and-

WILENTZ, ESQUIRES

BY: DANIEL R. LAPINSKI, ESQUIRE (NEW JERSEY)

On Behalf of the Plaintiffs Steering Committee

(Continued.)

VINCENT RUSSONIELLO, RPR, CRR, CCR OFFICIAL U.S. COURT REPORTER (609) 588-9516

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

DRINKER, BIDDLE & REATH, ESQUIRES
BY: SUSAN M. SHARKO, ESQUIRE (NEW JERSEY)
JULIE L. TERSIGNI, ESQUIRE (NEW JERSEY)
On behalf of Defendant Johnson & Johnson

SEYFARRTH & SHAW, ESQUIRES
BY: THOMAS L. LOCKE, ESQUIRE (WASHINGTON D.C.)
-and-

BARRY, McTIERNAN & WEDINGER, ESQUIRES
BY: PATRICIA S. CASAMENTO, ESQUIRE (NEW JERSEY)
On Behalf of Defendant PCPC

COUGHLIN DUFFY, ESQUIRES

BY: MARK K. SILVER, ESQUIRE (NEW JERSEY)

-and
GORDON & REES, ESQUIRES

BY: ANN THORNTON FIELD, ESQUIRE (PENNSYLVANIA)

On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America

CERTIFICATE

PURSUANT TO TITLE 28, U.S.C., SECTION 753, THE FOLLOWING TRANSCRIPT IS CERTIFIED TO BE AN ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTION OF MY STENOGRAPHIC NOTES IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

S/Vincent Russoniello
VINCENT RUSSONIELLO, CCR
OFFICIAL U.S. COURT REPORTER

```
4
1
            (In open court.)
 2
 3
            THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Thank you.
 4
 5
            Good morning. Have a seat.
 6
            Having taken a look at the last status report
 7
    that was sent in yesterday, it doesn't look like we
8
    have a lot of issues to address today. So we'll try
9
    and get through it fairly quickly and move on.
            I will tell you, I keep promising that you are
10
    going to be getting some opinions on motions.
11
12
    are coming. There are a couple that should be ready
13
    fairly quickly. We're slogging through, but they are
14
    coming.
15
            So let's talk about what you do have on the
16
    agenda for today.
17
            You said that you are still working on the
    protocol for this TEM grid inspection for Imerys?
18
19
            MS. O'DELL: Yes, your Honor.
20
            JUDGE WOLFSON: How long is it going to go on?
21
            MR. SILVER: Judge, we set a protocol.
2.2
    plaintiffs' comments last week. We're going through
23
    them now. We have to set up a meet and confer.
24
    will probably happen early next week, and then we will
25
    be able to figure out what the next steps are from
```

```
5
1
    there.
 2
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Okay.
 3
            How does this in any way impact the schedule
    that we've already set?
 4
 5
            MR. SILVER: In the defense opinion not at
 6
    all, your Honor.
 7
            MS. O'DELL: We don't anticipate it will
    impact the schedule. Once we get the grids, your
8
9
    Honor, Dr. Longo will likely look at them. It will
    not impact the schedule.
10
11
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Great.
12
            You've updated me on the depositions that are
13
    going on. I guess this is all going apace. It looks
    like the bulk of this that you've already got
14
15
    scheduled is going to be done during the month of
    October. Right? You will be complete on this.
16
17
            Then you've told me that there are some items
    that may be coming to Judge Pisano with regard to
18
    clawing back some documents.
19
20
            Again, I think this involves Imerys. Right?
21
            MR. SILVER: Yes, your Honor.
2.2
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Okay.
23
            Then there is an issue also that you said is
24
    going to be addressed regarding redactions on asbestos
25
    testing by the third-party R.J. Lee?
```

```
6
            MS. O'DELL: That's right, your Honor.
1
 2
            R.J. Lee was the outside testing company that
 3
    was utilized both by Johnson & Johnson and at
    different times Imerys. There was a third-party
 4
    subpoena that went to R.J. Lee. Testing results were
 5
 6
    produced. I've got an example here.
 7
            Some of them were pertinent pages that relate
8
    to the TEM testing that has been sort of front and
9
    center are redacted. We did not get a privileged log.
    And so we want to raise that with Judge Pisano
10
    ultimately.
11
12
            We still need to meet and confer with the
13
    defendants about it, but we just wanted to put that on
14
    the agenda for today.
15
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Ms. Sharko.
            MS. SHARKO: We learned about this for the
16
17
    first time in the Tuesday night version of the agenda.
18
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Okay.
            MS. SHARKO: So we will look forward to
19
    talking to the plaintiffs about it.
20
21
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Do you know what that's about,
2.2
    though, those redactions?
23
            MS. SHARKO: No, not at all.
24
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Or who made the redactions?
            MS. SHARKO: Like I said, I learned about this
25
```

```
for the first time Tuesday night, and we'll meet and
1
 2
    confer. It was premature, frankly, to put it on the
    agenda, but the plaintiffs wanted to.
 3
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Well, that's okay. I know
 4
    it's coming. But I'm just trying to figure it out.
 5
    Sometimes if I can head something off and you don't
 6
7
    have to make it a big issue, I like to do it.
8
            Is Imerys aware of what these redactions are
    about?
9
            MR. SILVER: Again, your Honor, I got the same
10
    notice at the same time.
11
12
            JUDGE WOLFSON: All right. Then it's not ripe
13
    for me to discuss today.
            Now, I know you have given me some updates on
14
15
    re-filings and amended complaints. But is there
    anything I need to deal with in that regard? I don't
16
    think so.
17
18
            MS. PARFITT: Your Honor, I don't believe so.
    I think what we have done is we have provided to the
19
20
    defendants a chart of what we believe to be the
21
    correct status of these cases. So we'll work through
2.2
    that. I don't think it's anything we need to involve
    the Court with at this time.
23
24
            JUDGE WOLFSON: That's fine.
25
            The next is expert discovery. I see here
```

there is a request, it says: 1 2 "Plaintiffs respectfully request that the 3 Court order the defendants to disclose the identity of each of their expert witnesses and the subject matter 4 5 upon which the expert will offer opinions by November 16, 2018," which is not included in the order 6 7 that I have. 8 When I did the order, it was based on allowing the defendants to see what the plaintiffs' experts are 9 saying and who they think they want to respond to 10 that. So I certainly wouldn't do it simultaneously to 11 12 the date that you're serving your reports. 13 MS. PARFITT: Your Honor, may I be heard on that? 14 15 JUDGE WOLFSON: Sure. 16 MS. PARFITT: What we are asking the Court to 17 do is, I know the Court has indicated in its order as to the timely production of defendants' 26(b) 18 statements. But it's been about a year since we have 19 had the identity in just general areas or topics of 20 21 discussion that the plaintiffs would be addressing. 2.2 That's what we are asking now, whether beyond that date or soon thereafter, we are simply asking, as 23 24 the Court asked us to do, to identify the identity of 25 those individuals in the general areas. And then, of

2.2

course, the order will follow after that with regard to the actual reports.

JUDGE WOLFSON: I don't have a problem with a date earlier than the actual submission of the reports to identify them. It's just not going to happen in November.

So, Ms. Sharko, obviously, you'll have identified them at some point prior to the submission of the reports in February. So when can we have an identification of them?

MS. SHARKO: Well, I submit that the time for us to identify them is in February when we serve their reports. This request was made by the plaintiffs in September, on September 6th of last year. Your Honor, denied it. They asked again for --

JUDGE WOLFSON: But we're moving down now on the actual date. I'm going to tell you, you have to identify who your experts are going to be in the areas in January. Obviously, they are going to be working on these reports. And that's after you've already completed the deps of the plaintiffs' experts.

So you are in pretty good shape to know who your experts are going to be. And, by the way, you're not going to be held to it, if you decide you're not going to use one of them, or you decide for some

```
10
    reason you added some one else afterward. Okay.
1
 2
    I think that's fair for them to have an idea of who is
 3
    coming down the pike in February.
            So let's give a date of --
 4
 5
            MS. SHARKO: January 30th?
 6
            JUDGE WOLFSON: No. Very, very good, Ms.
7
    Sharko. That was quick on your part, but no.
8
            Let's do January 7th, please.
 9
            MS. SHARKO: Could we have a little more time
    after the Holidays because we're just going to be
10
    finishing their expert depositions later in December.
11
12
    So maybe January 15th?
13
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Well, you have December 17th.
14
    I'll give you to January 11th. You can have until the
15
    end of the week. January 11th.
16
            MS. SHARKO: And this is without prejudice to
17
    not using them, or, if necessary, adding somebody?
18
            JUDGE WOLFSON: It's without prejudice as to
    the that. That's what I indicated, which is why I
19
    didn't see that this is a real impediment for you, or
20
    for Imerys, or whoever else.
21
2.2
            MS. SHARKO: Thank you.
23
            JUDGE WOLFSON: So we've got that,
24
    January 11th.
25
            What else have we got to talk about today?
```

2.2

MS. PARFITT: Your Honor, if I may, with regard to, I believe it's Section 5 of the status report, the "duplicate filed cases," again, we have tried, your Honor, over the last couple of months to see if we couldn't just get agreement with regard to what the stipulation may look like, and really the only issue is the plaintiffs are asking that the stipulation be for these duplicate filed cases to be without prejudice as opposed to with prejudice.

It appears the Federal Rules generally prefers, and I think your Honor has actually preferred in the past that her preference for dismissals to be without prejudice. That is all we are asking.

The situation is this: There are duplicative filings. We are trying to clean them up as well. But you can imagine, we've got situations where we have family members, multiple family members, siblings.

One sibling may have filed a case with a law firm.

Another sibling might file a case with another law firm. There may be a spouse, and then there's a child. So they are filed.

We don't have any intention of having two cases move forward. But the situation is such that we also don't want to place the plaintiff in a situation where a judge comes in and dismisses it,

2.2

perhaps you, your Honor, but dismisses a case without prejudice. You look at that or another judge looks at that and says, It looks like all the claims have already been dismissed, and then that case goes out and they have absolutely nothing to go forward with.

So there is no disagreement that one case should go forward, one plaintiff, one case, one set of claims. The issue is we need a without prejudice so we not lose cite of the individuals's right to move their case forward. That's really the only dispute.

As I said, it appears the Federal Rules prefers it without prejudice. Your Honor has indicated in the past without prejudice in certain situations.

We can work together. Again, this isn't one of the situations where the parties can't try to cooperate when we run into a problem because our interests are the same: One case, one plaintiff, one set of filings. It's just not quite that easy to do and accomplish.

MS. SHARKO: Also, this has been going on for a long time. It's not that complicated. We do it all the time in other MDLs. And the order we propose, and maybe it's time to submit competing orders to your Honor because we need finality, is that the duplicate

2.2

filed case, whichever is going to be dismissed, should be dismissed with prejudice because the plaintiffs will pursue their claim in docket number whatever other case.

And so it's not that the plaintiffs will have nothing. They have two lawsuits right now. And it shouldn't be that they have one lawsuit without prejudice, which means that if they meet the requirements of the rules they could come back and they have their other lawsuit. The one they are going to dismiss should be dismissed with prejudice subject to the other case proceeding.

JUDGE WOLFSON: Okay.

MS. PARFITT: Your Honor, again, we have a situation where these cases are in different courts, different judges hearing. It could be a situation where we have a res judicata issue here. We've got to be very careful that we are not wholesale dismissing a client's opportunity to be before the Court. That's all we're asking.

JUDGE WOLFSON: But one of them has to be dismissed with prejudice and it will go that way. But if what it is, is that you need to have this discussion so you could compare the two complaints and you have to talk to a client to find out which is the

2.2

one that's raised all the claims and who is going to proceed with them, that's a decision you have to make anyway when you're going forward.

So what I don't want to have happen is essentially because you want to dismiss without prejudice because you're not sure that that's all been accomplished yet, so that the other one may come back, that is where we are, we have to decide which one is going forward.

And so if it's a matter of how much time you do it, it has been going on for awhile. I don't know which plaintiff, individual plaintiff's lawyers may be dealing with these duplicate filing. As you've indicated, some of them are in different jurisdictions. But the time has come for us to know which of those two duplicate filings is going to go forward, and the other one should be dismissed with prejudice.

So, yes, it doesn't make sense otherwise.

MS. PARFITT: I understand what the Court is saying, and Ms. Sharko indicates it's not complicated. It is complicated in that we know what's before us here in this court. I can't tell you, your Honor, that I know what's before all the other courts.

I have an obligation, Ms. O'Dell and I, to

15 manage this and we have been trying to do our best to 1 2 manage those cases that are before your Honor by the 3 plaintiffs. I know you appreciate that. 4 JUDGE WOLFSON: Let me ask you this, though. There are 93. Correct. 5 6 MS. PARFITT: Correct, that we're aware of. 7 JUDGE WOLFSON: Is what's happened that there 8 have been different law firms filing the duplicate 9 cases? 10 MS. PARFITT: Yes. JUDGE WOLFSON: And so what you've got to deal 11 12 with is the fact that one lawyer says, Mine is the one 13 that survives, and the other one says, No, mine should be the one that survives? 14 15 MS. PARFITT: Yes. 16 If you can imagine family court, we have 17 family court here involved as well. So it appears perhaps on its face not to be complicated. But anyone 18 who has done family court proceedings would understand 19 it can be very, very complicated and it's not that 20 21 easy. 2.2 We're charged with protecting certainly all of

the plaintiffs before your Honor and we are trying our very best to do that. So it is an issue. It really is an issue.

23

24

2.2

JUDGE WOLFSON: None of these are ones where the same lawyer has filed a case in two different jurisdictions, for the same plaintiffs, I mean.

MS. PARFITT: I don't want to stand here and say -- I'm not absolutely certain on all 93. I really don't want to make that representation because I candidly do not know the answer to that.

JUDGE WOLFSON: But it's generally the fact that it's different lawyers.

MS. PARFITT: We have a lot. We've got a couple of different law firms involved. They may not be aware that they even have these issues.

And when they have that issue, it may be that they believe, rightly so, that they have the proper plaintiff before the Court, and another law firm believes they properly have the plaintiff before the Court.

JUDGE WOLFSON: And because these are ones where there has been a death. So it's the surviving relatives filing competing lawsuits, and likely ones where there may not be an executor or executrix.

MS. PARFITT: That's the biggest one. I think we have a potpourri of issues here because of it.

Even locating, I can tell you within my own practice, we have this issue between siblings who come

2.2

to us and say, I'm the proper one. No, I'm the proper one. Then they go elsewhere. I don't know where they go if they are not satisfied with the advice that we give them. So I suspect there are a lot of other lawyers not as acutely aware of the proceedings as we are as we come before you each month.

So it's a difficult thing to manage right now, which is why we felt no harm without prejudice because at the end of the day what I can represent to the Court, there is only going to be one case going forward.

At that point in time, I think you can figure it out. But at this stage, I still think it's premature because to disband and say automatically that these all have to be for prejudice, that doesn't seem to be terribly workable for us. I think it's prejudicial.

MS. SHARKO: But it's equally unworkable to have these things in limbo and have no finality.

So here is what I suggest: There are 93 of these. They are all before your Honor. What we did in the last couple of months in the Xarelto MDL before Judge Fallon where there was the issue, he entered an order to show cause requiring all the lawyers to come in, and then I guess duke it out in the courtroom as

to whose case would go forward. And you know what? The problem got solved.

JUDGE WOLFSON: I'm going to suggest that I sort of deal with it and I bring it to a head. It's a lot of work for you. And actually that was what -- I don't know Judge Fallon or what that person did, but it was going to be my suggestion.

MS. SHARKO: Good.

2.2

MS. PARFITT: Your Honor, if I may, we haven't had -- it apparently happened with Judge Fallon. But I wouldn't say that that has been the common practice in the MDLs we've been involved in.

JUDGE WOLFSON: Well, this is what my suggestion is, because I understand it's a lot of work for you people to deal with these different law firms and, as you put it, it's like a family court situation, not just with the actual plaintiffs who filed them, but with their attorneys who want to have the right to bring it forward.

So my suggestion is: If I enter an order that says, and I'll give it a date, to come in on these cases so that we can resolve which one of these two, because it's going to be clear, it's not two cases going forward, some of them may agree with each other in the interim.

2.2

Certainly, feel free to talk to the lawyers if they want to engage you in deciding which one looks like the right one should be going forward. And if they can't agree, they can come in and tell me and I'll figure it out and you won't be on the hook.

That is really where I am. I'm looking to protect the lawyers that I have here for anyone coming back to you saying, How could you possibly dismiss that one. That was the right one. But they've got to make an election at this point, and we need to.

MS. PARFITT: I think it's correct, and I would like to state on the record, that it hasn't been the common practice to do that. It seems to have been working in other MDLs -- I appreciate what the Court is suggesting -- but it has been working in other courts, certainly other cases that we've been involved in. That's why we were actually surprised this would be the beef that we had to address.

JUDGE WOLFSON: Well, I would like to give it some finality at this point. So I would like to go that way. And I would hope that doing that, and when the notice goes out to these lawyers, that amongst each other they could figure this out.

If there was an executor and executrix, that's the person who makes the decision about filing suit.

2.2

If there was an administrator appointed, that should be the person who makes the decision on filing suit.

If none of those things occurred, then we have to look at, Okay, let's talk about who is the closest relative who could do this.

As you say, if you have two different siblings doing it, come on, let's get real, they want to join together in one lawsuit? Let them both do it together. I don't care. That's really an option.

They don't really care who the named plaintiff is. It could be two sisters. It could be a brother and a sister. It doesn't really matter unless they are estranged and that's when it gets ugly and that's why they are doing it that way. Those are the few cases, hopefully, that we would only have to deal with.

MS. O'DELL: Your Honor may I just add something?

JUDGE WOLFSON: Yes.

MS. O'DELL: From our perspective I think in many instances there may be agreement, and it may be there are law firms that weren't aware of the other and now they come together.

Our overarching concern is that the case that's dismissed is not used, that dismissal with

```
prejudice, is not later used maybe even years down the
1
 2
    road to somehow have res judicata effect or claim
 3
    preclusion effect on the case that's going forward.
            JUDGE WOLFSON: It can't possibly. You can
 4
 5
    put the language in. It totally protects that. And
 6
    there is no way that these attorneys are going to
 7
    suggest that's not the case.
8
            Language clearly has to indicate that the
 9
    reason that this is being dismissed is because it was
    a duplicate filing to X case, that case, and all the
10
    claims in that case survive and go forward. This is
11
12
    not an adjudication on the merits. It's simply a
13
    duplicate filing.
            MS. O'DELL: That's our concern.
14
15
            JUDGE WOLFSON:
                            That's the language you have
    to put in. Absolutely.
16
17
            MS. SHARKO: We agree with that.
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Good.
18
            That's what protects you and your concern
19
20
    about down the road. Other than that, we have to get
21
    them to have their moment of deciding who goes
    forward.
2.2
23
            As I said, one of the solutions is, if they
24
```

are not estranged and they both want to be named for some reason, fine, they'll have the obligations of

```
being a name plaintiff. I don't care. That's another
1
 2
    way of dealing with it.
 3
            But I have to give it a date for a return date
    with the hope that virtually all of these will be
 4
 5
    taken care of within that time period. And if they're
 6
    not, I'd have a very few that come in.
 7
            So let's take a look. What do you think is a
8
    fair time to get these lawyers to appear, if they have
9
    to appear, or want to appear, or tell you what they
    are doing?
10
            I don't think we have another date on our
11
12
    calendar, do we, or do we have one?
13
            MS. SHARKO: We don't.
14
            MS. PARFITT: We do not.
15
            I wouldn't think anything under 60 days, your
16
            I think we need to give them some time.
    Honor.
17
            JUDGE WOLFSON: That also gives you plenty of
    time to tell them, This is what's going to happen. If
18
19
    you don't want to have to come to New Jersey, then
    let's work this out.
20
21
            Can I have the calendar, please?
2.2
            (Pause.)
23
            JUDGE WOLFSON: How about the week after
24
    Thanksqiving? I'm available Wednesday or Thursday of
25
    that week, the 28th or 29th of November.
```

```
MS. SHARKO: Either is fine with me.
1
 2
            MR. SILVER: It works Imerys, your Honor.
 3
    Preferably, the 28th.
            MS. O'DELL: Those dates are fine with us for
 4
    hearings, your Honor.
 5
 6
            JUDGE WOLFSON: I heard a request that the
7
    28th was preferred. So we'll do the 28th of November
    at 10:30.
8
 9
            To do the appropriate order, order to show
    cause, I need to have a list of those cases and I
10
    certainly don't mind if you want to work on an order
11
12
    that you want to submit to me. I would like it
13
    quickly so they're put on notice.
            Although, I'm guessing you can go back and
14
15
    you're going to send an email or whatever to them
    anyway, so they'll know the date and save it. But I
16
17
    don't mind if you want to work on that language
    together and then attach all of those cases.
18
            MS. PARFITT: Very good.
19
20
            MS. SHARKO: We will.
21
            JUDGE WOLFSON: So November 28th, 10:30. And
22
    then we'll just use that as our status date, too.
23
    There is no reason for you to come in on two separate
24
    dates.
```

MS. PARFITT: That works. Thank you.

```
JUDGE WOLFSON: You tell me: Do you think
1
 2
    that there is anything that we're going to need to
    address to put on a conference at the end of October,
 3
    or do you want to wait until the end of November?
 4
            MS. SHARKO: I think we can wait until the end
 5
    of November.
 6
 7
            MS. PARFITT: We agree, your Honor.
8
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Okay.
            So instead how about if you send me a status
 9
    letter, as you did in August, and send me a status
10
    letter then on October 25th.
11
12
            MS. SHARKO: Okay.
13
            JUDGE WOLFSON: And then our in-person status
    will be the November 28th date at 10:30, together with
14
15
    that order to show cause with whoever might be coming.
            MS. SHARKO: So this will be Ms. Tersigni's
16
17
    last status until April because her baby is due in
18
    November.
            JUDGE WOLFSON: We'll miss you. I think Wayne
19
    will miss you. Congratulations.
20
21
            MS. SHARKO: I will miss her.
2.2
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Good wishes. We'll see how
                                                 We'll see.
23
    smoothly things go when you're out. Okay.
24
            Be well.
25
            Anything else you want to bring up today?
```

MS. SHARKO: Not from the defense. 1 2 JUDGE WOLFSON: Okay. 3 And, I take it, we won't be moving up any of these dates in the Daubert schedule. Right? 4 just be sticking with June 11th, I assume. 5 6 MS. SHARKO: Yes. 7 JUDGE WOLFSON: Okay. 8 I'll need to know at some point how many days we're anticipating if we're going to have to bring in 9 experts for the Daubert hearing, how many days I need 10 to devote to those hearings, so I can appropriately 11 12 schedule that on my calendar. 13 MS. PARFITT: Your Honor, we can confer and it's certainly understandable. 14 15 JUDGE WOLFSON: We put things out so far. MS. PARFITT: We can discuss it because 16 17 depending on the number, obviously, the number of reports we provide the Court. 18 JUDGE WOLFSON: And it may be you are not 19 20 going to want to bring in every one of those witnesses 21 for a Daubert hearing. Some of them you may feel that 2.2 you're prepared or that you think you'll have enough

to submit on the deposition transcripts you are giving me and the reports.

23

24

25

Certainly, I would suggest to all of you that

2.2

those that you consider your front and center primary experts really to carry the day, I'm going to want to hear from and see. Okay?

MS. PARFITT: Very good.

JUDGE WOLFSON: That is just generally my practice in Daubert hearings particularly in substantial or complex cases. I want to see them and hear them. And it gives me the opportunity to ask questions too that are left open for me, which you can't do once you've already taken the deposition.

I guess what I'll do for the time being is, how about if we block out, the 11th was a Tuesday, we'll block out the rest of that week at the very least, and then maybe the following week.

MS. SHARKO: Yes, I think two weeks would be safe just to have it.

JUDGE WOLFSON: We will not sit on the 21st regardless. I have a son getting married that weekend. So no later than the Thursday we'll be done that week. If we have to return, we'll just come back the following week, the week of the 24th, so you know what to plan for.

So it's four days on June 11th, and the following week is four days, and we'll see where we are, and obviously we'll talk about it the closer we

```
27
1
    get to those dates.
 2
            Anything else?
3
            MS. PARFITT: Not from me.
            JUDGE WOLFSON: Great. Thanks for coming in
 4
5
    today. If you have something that comes up, you know
 6
    how to get ahold of me. Otherwise, everyone have a
7
    good couple of months and Thanksgiving.
            And good luck on the baby.
8
9
            MS. TERSIGNI: Thank you.
10
            THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
             (Proceedings concluded.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

forth.

I, Vincent Russoniello, Official United States

Court Reporter and Certified Court Reporter of the

State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the

foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the

proceedings as taken stenographically by and before me

at the time, place and on the date hereinbefore set

I do further certify that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in this action.

24 S/Vincent Russoniello
Vincent Russoniello, CCR
Certificate No. 675

	\sim
_	u

			۷.
0	Α	areas [3] - 8:20, 8:25,	12:1, 12:4, 12:6, 12:7,
08608 [1] - 1:9	able [1] - 4:25	9:18	12:10, 12:18, 13:1, 13:4,
00000 [1] - 1.9		asbestos [1] - 5:24	13:12, 16:2, 17:10, 18:1,
4	ABOVE [1] - 3:9	ASHCRAFT [1] - 1:17	20:24, 21:3, 21:7, 21:10,
1	ABOVE-ENTITLED [1] -	assume [1] - 25:5	21:11
10:30 [3] - 23:8, 23:21,	3:9	attach [1] - 23:18	cases [14] - 7:21, 11:3,
24:14	absolutely [3] - 12:5,	attorney [2] - 28:14,	11:8, 11:23, 13:15, 15:2,
11th [6] - 10:14, 10:15,	16:5, 21:16	28:16	15:9, 18:22, 18:23,
10:24, 25:5, 26:12,	accomplish [1] - 12:20	attorneys [2] - 18:18,	19:16, 20:15, 23:10,
26:23	accomplished [1] - 14:7	21:6	23:18, 26:7
15th [1] - 10:12	accurate [1] - 28:9	August [1] - 24:10	CCR [3] - 1:24, 3:13,
16 [1] - 8:6	ACCURATE [1] - 3:7	automatically [1] - 17:14	28:24
16-MD-2738(FLW)(LHG	ACTION [1] - 1:2	available [1] - 22:24	center [2] - 6:9, 26:1
[1] - 1:2	action [2] - 28:15, 28:17	aware [5] - 7:8, 15:6,	certain [2] - 12:13, 16:5
17th [1] - 10:13	actual [4] - 9:2, 9:4, 9:17,	16:12, 17:5, 20:22	certainly [7] - 8:11,
	18:17	awhile [1] - 14:11	15:22, 19:1, 19:16,
2	acutely [1] - 17:5		23:11, 25:14, 25:25
2018 [2] - 1:6, 8:6	add [1] - 20:17	В	Certificate [1] - 28:24
21st [1] - 26:17	added [1] - 10:1	baby [2] - 24:17, 27:8	CERTIFIED [1] - 3:7
24th [1] - 26:21	adding [1] - 10:17	BARRY [1] - 2:10	Certified [1] - 28:7
25th [1] - 24:11	address [3] - 4:8, 19:18,	based [1] - 8:8	certify [2] - 28:8, 28:13
26(b [1] - 8:18	24:3	BE [1] - 3:7	charged [1] - 15:22
27 [1] - 1:6	addressed [1] - 5:24	BE [1] - 3:7 BEASLEY [1] - 1:15	chart [1] - 7:20
28 [1] - 3:6	addressing [1] - 8:21	beef [1] - 19:18	child [1] - 11:21
28th [6] - 22:25, 23:3,	adjudication [1] - 21:12	beer [1] - 19:18 behalf [2] - 2:7, 2:15	CHRISTOPHER [1] -
23:7, 23:21, 24:14	administrator [1] - 20:1	Behalf [2] - 2:7, 2:15	1:19
29th [1] - 22:25	advice [1] - 17:3	believes [1] - 16:16	cite [1] - 12:9
	agenda [4] - 4:16, 6:14,	best [2] - 15:1, 15:24	CIVIL [1] - 1:2
3	6:17, 7:3	best [2] - 15.1, 15.24 between [1] - 16:25	claim [2] - 13:3, 21:2
	agree [4] - 18:24, 19:4,	beyond [1] - 8:22	claims [4] - 12:3, 12:8,
30th [1] - 10:5	21:17, 24:7	BIDDLE [1] - 2:5	14:1, 21:11
4	agreement [2] - 11:5,	big [1] - 7:7	CLARKSON [1] - 1:9
	20:21	biggest [1] - 16:22	clawing [1] - 5:19
402 [1] - 1:9	ahold [1] - 27:6	block [2] - 26:12, 26:13	clean [1] - 11:15
_	ALABAMA[1] - 1:16	bring [5] - 18:4, 18:19,	clear [1] - 18:23
5	ALLEN [1] - 1:15	24:25, 25:9, 25:20	clearly [1] - 21:8
5 [1] - 11:2	allowing [1] - 8:8	brother [1] - 20:11	CLERK [2] - 4:3, 27:10
588-9516 [1] - 1:25	amended [1] - 7:15	bulk [1] - 5:14	client [1] - 13:25
	America [1] - 2:15	BY [9] - 1:16, 1:17, 1:19,	client's [1] - 13:19
6	AN [1] - 3:7	1:20, 2:6, 2:9, 2:10,	closer [1] - 26:25
60 [1] - 22:15	ANN [1] - 2:14	2:13, 2:14	closest [1] - 20:4
60 [1] - 22:15 609 [1] - 1:25	answer [1] - 16:7	-,	coming [8] - 4:12, 4:14,
609 [1] - 1:25 675 [1] - 28:24	anticipate [1] - 5:7	С	5:18, 7:5, 10:3, 19:7,
6/5 [1] - 28:24 6th [1] - 9:14	anticipating [1] - 25:9		24:15, 27:4
om [1] - ∂.1 4	anyway [2] - 14:3, 23:16	calendar [3] - 22:12,	comments [1] - 4:22
7	apace [1] - 5:13	22:21, 25:12	Committee [1] - 1:21
	appear [3] - 22:8, 22:9	candidly [1] - 16:7	common [2] - 18:11,
753 [1] - 3:6	appointed [1] - 20:1	care [4] - 20:9, 20:10,	19:13
7th [1] - 10:8	appreciate [2] - 15:3,	22:1, 22:5	company [1] - 6:2
	19:14	careful [1] - 13:18	compare [1] - 13:24
9	appropriate [1] - 23:9	carry [1] - 26:2	competing [2] - 12:24,
93 [3] - 15:5, 16:5, 17:20	appropriately [1] - 25:11	CASAMENTO [1] - 2:10	16:20
	April [1] - 24:17	case [20] - 11:18, 11:19,	complaints [2] - 7:15,

13:24 **complete** [1] - 5:16 completed [1] - 9:21 complex [1] - 26:7 **complicated** [5] - 12:22, 14:21, 14:22, 15:18, 15:20 concern [3] - 20:24, 21:14, 21:19 concluded [1] - 27:11 confer [4] - 4:23, 6:12, 7:2, 25:13 **CONFERENCE** [1] - 1:5 **conference** [1] - 24:3 congratulations [1] -24:20 consider [1] - 26:1 continued [1] - 1:22 cooperate [1] - 12:17 correct [4] - 7:21, 15:5, 15:6, 19:11 **COUGHLIN** [1] - 2:12 counsel [2] - 28:14, 28:16 couple [5] - 4:12, 11:4, 16:11, 17:22, 27:7 course [1] - 9:1 **COURT** [3] - 1:1, 1:25, 3:14 Court [14] - 7:23, 8:3, 8:16, 8:17, 8:24, 13:19, 14:20, 16:15, 16:17, 17:10, 19:14, 25:18, 28:7 court [6] - 4:1, 14:23, 15:16, 15:17, 15:19, 18:16 **COURTHOUSE** [1] - 1:9 courtroom [1] - 17:25 courts [3] - 13:15, 14:24, 19:16 CRR [1] - 1:24

D

D.C [1] - 2:9

DANIEL [1] - 1:20

date [13] - 8:12, 8:23,
9:4, 9:17, 10:4, 18:21,
22:3, 22:11, 23:16,
23:22, 24:14, 28:11

dates [4] - 23:4, 23:24,
25:4, 27:1

Daubert [4] - 25:4, 25:10,

25:21, 26:6 days [5] - 22:15, 25:8, 25:10, 26:23, 26:24 deal [5] - 7:16, 15:11, 18:4, 18:15, 20:15 dealing [2] - 14:13, 22:2 death [1] - 16:19 **December** [2] - 10:11, 10:13 decide [3] - 9:24, 9:25, 14:8 deciding [2] - 19:2, 21:21 decision [3] - 14:2, 19:25, 20:2 **Defendant** [3] - 2:7, 2:11, 2:15 **defendants** [4] - 6:13, 7:20, 8:3, 8:9 defendants' [1] - 8:18 defense [2] - 5:5, 25:1 denied [1] - 9:15 deposition [2] - 25:23, 26:10 depositions [2] - 5:12, 10:11 deps [1] - 9:21 **DEPUTY** [2] - 4:3, 27:10 devote [1] - 25:11 different [10] - 6:4, 13:15, 13:16, 14:14, 15:8, 16:2, 16:9, 16:11, 18:15, 20:6 difficult [1] - 17:7 disagreement [1] - 12:6 disband [1] - 17:14 disclose [1] - 8:3 discovery [1] - 7:25 discuss [2] - 7:13, 25:16 discussion [2] - 8:21, 13:24 dismiss [3] - 13:11, 14:5, 19:8 dismissal [1] - 20:25 dismissals [1] - 11:12 dismissed [8] - 12:4, 13:1, 13:2, 13:11, 13:22, 14:17, 20:25, 21:9 dismisses [2] - 11:25, **dismissing** [1] - 13:18

dispute [1] - 12:10

DISTRICT [2] - 1:1, 1:1

docket [1] - 13:3 documents [1] - 5:19 done [4] - 5:15, 7:19, 15:19, 26:19 down [4] - 9:16, 10:3, 21:1, 21:20 Dr [1] - 5:9 **DRINKER** [1] - 2:5 due [1] - 24:17 **DUFFY** [1] - 2:12 duke [1] - 17:25 duplicate [8] - 11:3, 11:8, 12:25, 14:13, 14:16, 15:8, 21:10, 21:13 duplicative [1] - 11:14 during [1] - 5:15

Ε

early [1] - 4:24 **EAST** [1] - 1:9 easy [2] - 12:19, 15:21 effect [2] - 21:2, 21:3 either [1] - 23:1 **election** [1] - 19:10 elsewhere [1] - 17:2 email [1] - 23:15 employee [2] - 28:14, 28:16 end [5] - 10:15, 17:9, 24:3, 24:4, 24:5 engage [1] - 19:2 enter [1] - 18:20 entered [1] - 17:23 **ENTITLED** [1] - 3:9 equally [1] - 17:18 **ESQUIRE** [10] - 1:16, 1:17, 1:19, 1:20, 2:6, 2:6, 2:9, 2:10, 2:13, 2:14 **ESQUIRES** [9] - 1:15, 1:17, 1:18, 1:20, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 2:12, 2:14 **essentially** [1] - 14:5 estranged [2] - 20:13, 21:24 **example** [1] - 6:6 executor [2] - 16:21, 19:24 executrix [2] - 16:21, 19:24 expert [4] - 7:25, 8:4, 8:5, 10:11

experts [6] - 8:9, 9:18, 9:21, 9:23, 25:10, 26:2

F

face [1] - 15:18 fact [2] - 15:12, 16:8 fair [2] - 10:2, 22:8 fairly [2] - 4:9, 4:13 **Fallon** [3] - 17:23, 18:6, 18:10 family [6] - 11:17, 15:16, 15:17, 15:19, 18:16 far [1] - 25:15 **February** [3] - 9:9, 9:12, 10:3 Federal [2] - 11:10, 12:11 felt [1] - 17:8 few [2] - 20:14, 22:6 **FIELD** [1] - 2:14 figure [5] - 4:25, 7:5, 17:12, 19:5, 19:23 file [1] - 11:19 filed [7] - 11:3, 11:8, 11:18, 11:21, 13:1, 16:2, 18:18 filing [7] - 14:13, 15:8, 16:20, 19:25, 20:2, 21:10, 21:13 filings [4] - 7:15, 11:15, 12:19, 14:16 finality [3] - 12:25, 17:19, 19:20 financially [1] - 28:17 fine [4] - 7:24, 21:25, 23:1, 23:4 finishing [1] - 10:11 firm [3] - 11:18, 11:20, 16:15 firms [4] - 15:8, 16:11, 18:15, 20:22 first [2] - 6:17, 7:1 **FISHER** [1] - 1:9 **FLORIDA** [1] - 1:19 follow [1] - 9:1 **FOLLOWING** [1] - 3:7 following [3] - 26:14, 26:21, 26:24 **FOR** [1] - 1:1 foregoing [1] - 28:9 forth [1] - 28:12 forward [16] - 6:19, 11:23, 12:5, 12:7, 12:10,

14:3, 14:9, 14:17, 17:11, 18:1, 18:19, 18:24, 19:3, 21:3, 21:11, 21:22 four [2] - 26:23, 26:24 frankly [1] - 7:2 FREDA [1] - 1:12 free [1] - 19:1 front [2] - 6:8, 26:1

G

general [2] - 8:20, 8:25 generally [3] - 11:10, 16:8, 26:5 GEREL [1] - 1:17 given [1] - 7:14 GORDON [1] - 2:14 great [2] - 5:11, 27:4 grid [1] - 4:18 grids [1] - 5:8 guess [3] - 5:13, 17:25, 26:11 guessing [1] - 23:14

н

harm [1] - 17:8 head [2] - 7:6, 18:4 hear [2] - 26:3, 26:8 heard [2] - 8:13, 23:6 hearing [3] - 13:16, 25:10, 25:21 hearings [3] - 23:5, 25:11, 26:6 held [1] - 9:24 hereby [1] - 28:8 hereinbefore [1] - 28:11 Holidays [1] - 10:10 **Honor** [27] - 4:19, 5:6, 5:9, 5:21, 6:1, 7:10, 7:18, 8:13, 9:15, 11:1, 11:4, 11:11, 12:1, 12:12, 12:25, 13:14, 14:23, 15:2, 15:23, 17:21, 18:9, 20:17, 22:16, 23:2, 23:5, 24:7, 25:13 **HONORABLE** [1] - 1:12 hook [1] - 19:5

ı

hope [2] - 19:21, 22:4

hopefully [1] - 20:15

idea [1] - 10:2 identification [1] - 9:10 identified [1] - 9:8 identify [4] - 8:24, 9:5, 9:12, 9:18 identity [3] - 8:3, 8:20, 8:24 imagine [2] - 11:16, 15:16 **Imerys** [7] - 2:15, 4:18, 5:20, 6:4, 7:8, 10:21, 23:2 impact [3] - 5:3, 5:8, 5:10 **impediment** [1] - 10:20 **IN** [2] - 1:5, 3:8 in-person [1] - 24:13 included [1] - 8:6 indicate [1] - 21:8 indicated [4] - 8:17, 10:19, 12:13, 14:14 indicates [1] - 14:21 individual [1] - 14:12 individuals [1] - 8:25 individuals's [1] - 12:9 inspection [1] - 4:18 instances [1] - 20:21 instead [1] - 24:9 intention [1] - 11:22 interested [1] - 28:17 interests [1] - 12:18 interim [1] - 18:25 involve [1] - 7:22 involved [4] - 15:17, 16:11, 18:12, 19:16 involves [1] - 5:20 **IS** [1] - 3:7 issue [10] - 5:23, 7:7, 11:7, 12:8, 13:17, 15:24, 15:25, 16:13, 16:25, 17:23 issues [3] - 4:8, 16:12, 16:23 items [1] - 5:17

J

January [7] - 9:19, 10:5, 10:8, 10:12, 10:14, 10:15, 10:24 JERSEY [6] - 1:1, 1:20, 2:6, 2:6, 2:10, 2:13 Jersey [2] - 22:19, 28:8 Johnson [4] - 2:7, 6:3 JOHNSON [2] - 1:5 join [1] - 20:7

JUDGE [50] - 4:4, 4:20, 5:2, 5:11, 5:22, 6:15, 6:18, 6:21, 6:24, 7:4, 7:12, 7:24, 8:15, 9:3, 9:16, 10:6, 10:13, 10:18, 10:23, 13:13, 13:21, 15:4, 15:7, 15:11, 16:1, 16:8, 16:18, 18:3, 18:13, 19:19, 20:19, 21:4, 21:15, 21:18, 22:17, 22:23, 23:6, 23:21, 24:1, 24:8, 24:13, 24:19, 24:22, 25:2, 25:7, 25:15, 25:19, 26:5, 26:17, 27:4 judge [2] - 11:25, 12:2 Judge [6] - 4:21, 5:18, 6:10, 17:23, 18:6, 18:10 judges [1] - 13:16 judicata [2] - 13:17, 21:2 **JULIE** [1] - 2:6 **June** [2] - 25:5, 26:23 jurisdictions [2] - 14:15,

K

keep [1] - 4:10

L

language [4] - 21:5,

21:8, 21:15, 23:17

LAPINSKI [1] - 1:20

11:4, 17:22, 24:17

last [6] - 4:6, 4:22, 9:14,

law [7] - 11:18, 11:19, 15:8, 16:11, 16:15, 18:15, 20:22 lawsuit [3] - 13:7, 13:10, 20:8 lawsuits [2] - 13:6, 16:20 lawyer [2] - 15:12, 16:2 lawyers [8] - 14:12, 16:9, 17:5, 17:24, 19:1, 19:7, 19:22, 22:8 learned [2] - 6:16, 6:25 least [1] - 26:14 **Lee** [3] - 5:25, 6:2, 6:5 left [1] - 26:9 **LEIGH** [1] - 1:16 **letter** [2] - 24:10, 24:11 **LEVIN** [1] - 1:18 likely [2] - 5:9, 16:20 limbo [1] - 17:19

list [1] - 23:10 locating [1] - 16:24 LOCKE [1] - 2:9 log [1] - 6:9 Longo [1] - 5:9 look [8] - 4:6, 4:7, 5:9, 6:19, 11:6, 12:2, 20:3, 22:7 looking [1] - 19:6 looks [4] - 5:13, 12:2, 12:3, 19:2 lose [1] - 12:9 luck [1] - 27:8

•

manage [3] - 15:1, 15:2, 17:7 MARK [1] - 2:13 **MARKETING** [1] - 1:5 married [1] - 26:18 **MATTER** [1] - 3:9 matter [3] - 8:4, 14:10, 20:12 **McTIERNAN** [1] - 2:10 **MDL** [1] - 17:22 **MDLs** [3] - 12:23, 18:12, 19:14 mean [1] - 16:3 means [1] - 13:8 meet [4] - 4:23, 6:12, 7:1, 13:8 members [2] - 11:17 merits [1] - 21:12 **MICHELLE** [1] - 1:17 might [2] - 11:19, 24:15 mind [2] - 23:11, 23:17 Mine [1] - 15:12 mine [1] - 15:13 miss [3] - 24:19, 24:20, 24:21 moment [1] - 21:21 month [2] - 5:15, 17:6 months [3] - 11:4, 17:22, 27:7 morning [1] - 4:5 motions [1] - 4:11 move [3] - 4:9, 11:23, 12:9 moving [2] - 9:16, 25:3 **MR** [5] - 4:21, 5:5, 5:21, 7:10, 23:2 **MS** [53] - 4:19, 5:7, 6:1,

pause [1] - 22:22

people [1] - 18:15

PENNSYLVANIA [1] -

PCPC [1] - 2:11

2:14

6:16, 6:19, 6:23, 6:25, 7:18, 8:13, 8:16, 9:11, 10:5, 10:9, 10:16, 10:22, 11:1, 12:21, 13:14, 14:20, 15:6, 15:10, 15:15, 16:4, 16:10, 16:22, 17:18, 18:8, 18:9, 19:11, 20:17, 20:20, 21:14, 21:17, 22:13, 22:14, 23:1, 23:4, 23:19, 23:20, 23:25, 24:5, 24:7, 24:12, 24:16, 24:21, 25:1, 25:6, 25:13, 25:16, 26:4, 26:15, 27:3, 27:9 multiple [1] - 11:17 MY [1] - 3:8

Ν

name [1] - 22:1 named [2] - 20:10, 21:24 **necessary** [1] - 10:17 **need** [12] - 6:12, 7:16, 7:22, 12:8, 12:25, 13:23, 19:10, 22:16, 23:10, 24:2, 25:8, 25:10 **NEW** [6] - 1:1, 1:20, 2:6, 2:6, 2:10, 2:13 New [2] - 22:19, 28:8 next [3] - 4:24, 4:25, 7:25 night [2] - 6:17, 7:1 **NJ** [1] - 1:9 **NO** [1] - 1:2 none [2] - 16:1, 20:3 **NOTES** [1] - 3:8 nothing [2] - 12:5, 13:6 notice [3] - 7:11, 19:22, 23:13 **November** [9] - 8:6, 9:6, 22:25, 23:7, 23:21, 24:4, 24:6, 24:14, 24:18 number [3] - 13:3, 25:17

0

O'DELL [8] - 1:16, 4:19, 5:7, 6:1, 20:17, 20:20, 21:14, 23:4
O'Dell [1] - 14:25
obligation [1] - 14:25
obligations [1] - 21:25
obviously [4] - 9:7, 9:19, 25:17, 26:25
occurred [1] - 20:3

October [3] - 5:16, 24:3, 24:11 **OF** [3] - 1:1, 1:5, 3:8 offer [1] - 8:5 Official [1] - 28:6 **OFFICIAL** [2] - 1:25, 3:14 once [2] - 5:8, 26:10 one [35] - 9:25, 10:1, 11:18, 12:6, 12:7, 12:15, 12:18, 13:7, 13:10, 13:21, 14:1, 14:7, 14:8, 14:17, 15:12, 15:13, 15:14, 16:22, 17:1, 17:2, 17:10, 18:22, 19:2, 19:3, 19:9, 20:8, 21:23, 22:12, 25:20 ones [3] - 16:1, 16:18, 16:20 open [2] - 4:1, 26:9 **opinion** [1] - 5:5 opinions [2] - 4:11, 8:5 opportunity [2] - 13:19, 26:8 opposed [1] - 11:9 **option** [1] - 20:9 order [12] - 8:3, 8:6, 8:8, 8:17, 9:1, 12:23, 17:24, 18:20, 23:9, 23:11, 24:15 orders [1] - 12:24 otherwise [2] - 14:19, 27:6 outside [1] - 6:2 overarching [1] - 20:24

Ρ

own [1] - 16:24

pages [1] - 6:7 **PAPANTONIO** [1] - 1:18 **PARFITT** [23] - 1:17, 7:18, 8:13, 8:16, 11:1, 13:14, 14:20, 15:6, 15:10, 15:15, 16:4, 16:10, 16:22, 18:9, 19:11, 22:14, 23:19, 23:25, 24:7, 25:13, 25:16, 26:4, 27:3 part [1] - 10:7 particularly [1] - 26:6 parties [2] - 12:16, 28:15 party [2] - 5:25, 6:4 past [2] - 11:12, 12:13 **PATRICIA** [1] - 2:10

perhaps [2] - 12:1, 15:18 period [1] - 22:5 person [4] - 18:6, 19:25, 20:2, 24:13 perspective [1] - 20:20 **pertinent** [1] - 6:7 **pike** [1] - 10:3 Pisano [2] - 5:18, 6:10 place [2] - 11:24, 28:11 plaintiff [8] - 11:24, 12:7, 12:18, 14:12, 16:15, 16:16, 20:10, 22:1 plaintiff's [1] - 14:12 Plaintiffs [1] - 1:21 plaintiffs [12] - 6:20, 7:3, 8:2, 8:21, 9:13, 11:7, 13:2, 13:5, 15:3, 15:23, 16:3, 18:17 plaintiffs' [3] - 4:22, 8:9, plan [1] - 26:22 plenty [1] - 22:17 **point** [5] - 9:8, 17:12, 19:10, 19:20, 25:8 possibly [2] - 19:8, 21:4 potpourri [1] - 16:23 **POWDER** [1] - 1:5 practice [4] - 16:25, 18:11, 19:13, 26:6 **PRACTICES** [1] - 1:6 preclusion [1] - 21:3 preferably [1] - 23:3 preference [1] - 11:12 preferred [2] - 11:11, 23:7 prefers [2] - 11:11, 12:12 prejudice [18] - 10:16, 10:18, 11:9, 11:13, 12:2, 12:8, 12:12, 12:13, 13:2, 13:8, 13:11, 13:22, 14:6, 14:18, 17:8, 17:15, 21:1 prejudicial [1] - 17:17 premature [2] - 7:2, 17:14 prepared [1] - 25:22 pretty [1] - 9:22 primary [1] - 26:1 **privileged** [1] - 6:9

problem [3] - 9:3, 12:17, 18:2 proceed [1] - 14:2 proceeding [1] - 13:12 **Proceedings** [1] - 27:11 proceedings [3] - 15:19, 17:5, 28:10 produced [1] - 6:6 **production** [1] - 8:18 **PRODUCTS** [1] - 1:5 **promising** [1] - 4:10 **proper** [3] - 16:14, 17:1 properly [1] - 16:16 propose [1] - 12:23 protect [1] - 19:7 protecting [1] - 15:22 protects [2] - 21:5, 21:19 protocol [2] - 4:18, 4:21 **provide** [1] - 25:18 provided [1] - 7:19 **PURSUANT** [1] - 3:6 pursue [1] - 13:3 put [8] - 6:13, 7:2, 18:16, 21:5, 21:16, 23:13, 24:3, 25:15

Q

questions [1] - 26:9 quick [1] - 10:7 quickly [3] - 4:9, 4:13, 23:13 quite [1] - 12:19

R

R.J [3] - 5:25, 6:2, 6:5 raise [1] - 6:10 raised [1] - 14:1 **RE** [1] - 1:5 re [1] - 7:15 **re-filings** [1] - 7:15 ready [1] - 4:12 real [2] - 10:20, 20:7 really [9] - 11:6, 12:10, 15:24, 16:5, 19:6, 20:9, 20:10, 20:12, 26:2 reason [4] - 10:1, 21:9, 21:25, 23:23 **REATH** [1] - 2:5 record [1] - 19:12 redacted [1] - 6:9 redactions [4] - 5:24, 6:22, 6:24, 7:8

REES [1] - 2:14 regard [5] - 5:18, 7:16, 9:1, 11:2, 11:5 regarding [1] - 5:24 regardless [1] - 26:18 relate [1] - 6:7 relative [3] - 20:4, 28:13, 28:16 relatives [1] - 16:20 report [2] - 4:6, 11:3 Reporter [2] - 28:7 **REPORTER** [2] - 1:25, reports [8] - 8:12, 9:2, 9:4, 9:9, 9:13, 9:20, 25:18, 25:24 represent [1] - 17:9 representation [1] - 16:6 request [4] - 8:1, 8:2, 9:13, 23:6 requirements [1] - 13:9 requiring [1] - 17:24 res [2] - 13:17, 21:2 resolve [1] - 18:22 respectfully [1] - 8:2 respond [1] - 8:10 rest [1] - 26:13 results [1] - 6:5 return [2] - 22:3, 26:20 rightly [1] - 16:14 ripe [1] - 7:12 rise [2] - 4:3, 27:10 road [2] - 21:2, 21:20 **RPR** [1] - 1:24 Rules [2] - 11:10, 12:11 rules [1] - 13:9 run [1] - 12:17 **Russoniello** [4] - 3:13, 28:6, 28:23, 28:24 RUSSONIELLO [2] -1:24, 3:13

S

S/Vincent [2] - 3:13, 28:23 safe [1] - 26:16 SALES [1] - 1:6 satisfied [1] - 17:3 save [1] - 23:16 schedule [5] - 5:3, 5:8, 5:10, 25:4, 25:12 scheduled [1] - 5:15 seat [1] - 4:5 **SECTION** [1] - 3:6 **Section** [1] - 11:2 **see** [9] - 7:25, 8:9, 10:20, 11:5, 24:22, 24:23, 26:3, 26:7, 26:24 seem [1] - 17:16 send [3] - 23:15, 24:9, 24:10 **sense** [1] - 14:19 sent [1] - 4:7 separate [1] - 23:23 **September** [2] - 9:14 **SEPTEMBER** [1] - 1:6 serve [1] - 9:12 **serving** [1] - 8:12 set [6] - 4:21, 4:23, 5:4, 12:7, 12:19, 28:11 **SEYFARRTH** [1] - 2:8 **shape** [1] - 9:22 **Sharko** [4] - 6:15, 9:7, 10:7, 14:21 **SHARKO** [24] - 2:6, 6:16, 6:19, 6:23, 6:25, 9:11, 10:5, 10:9, 10:16, 10:22, 12:21, 17:18, 18:8, 21:17, 22:13, 23:1, 23:20, 24:5, 24:12, 24:16, 24:21, 25:1, 25:6, 26:15 **SHAW** [1] - 2:8 **show** [3] - 17:24, 23:9, 24:15 **sibling** [2] - 11:18, 11:19 **siblings** [3] - 11:17, 16:25, 20:6 **SILVER** [6] - 2:13, 4:21, 5:5, 5:21, 7:10, 23:2 **simply** [2] - 8:23, 21:12 simultaneously [1] -8:11 sister [1] - 20:12 sisters [1] - 20:11 sit [1] - 26:17 situation [6] - 11:14, 11:23, 11:25, 13:15,

13:16, 18:17

12:14, 12:16

slogging [1] - 4:13

smoothly [1] - 24:23

solutions [1] - 21:23

solved [1] - 18:2

situations [3] - 11:16,

sometimes [1] - 7:6 son [1] - 26:18 soon [1] - 8:23 sort [2] - 6:8, 18:4 spouse [1] - 11:20 stage [1] - 17:13 stand [1] - 16:4 **State** [1] - 28:8 state [1] - 19:12 **STATE** [1] - 1:9 statements [1] - 8:19 **States** [1] - 28:6 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:9 status [8] - 4:6, 7:21, 11:2, 23:22, 24:9, 24:10, 24:13, 24:17 **STATUS** [1] - 1:5 Steering [1] - 1:21 STENOGRAPHIC [1] stenographically [1] -28:10 steps [1] - 4:25 sticking [1] - 25:5 still [3] - 4:17, 6:12, 17:13 stipulation [2] - 11:6, 11:8 **STREET** [1] - 1:9 subject [2] - 8:4, 13:11 submission [2] - 9:4, 9:8 submit [4] - 9:11, 12:24, 23:12, 25:23 **subpoena** [1] - 6:5 substantial [1] - 26:7 suggest [4] - 17:20, 18:3, 21:7, 25:25 suggesting [1] - 19:15 suggestion [3] - 18:7, 18:14, 18:20 suit [2] - 19:25, 20:2 surprised [1] - 19:17 survive [1] - 21:11 survives [2] - 15:13, 15:14 surviving [1] - 16:19 **SUSAN** [1] - 2:6 suspect [1] - 17:4

Talc [1] - 2:15 TEM [2] - 4:18, 6:8

terribly [1] - 17:16 **TERSIGNI** [2] - 2:6, 27:9 Tersigni's [1] - 24:16 testing [4] - 5:25, 6:2, 6:5, 6:8 Thanksgiving [2] -22:24, 27:7 **THE** [6] - 1:1, 1:12, 3:6, 3:8, 4:3, 27:10 thereafter [1] - 8:23 they've [1] - 19:9 third [2] - 5:25, 6:4 third-party [2] - 5:25, 6:4 **THOMAS** [1] - 2:9 **THORNTON** [1] - 2:14 Thursday [2] - 22:24, 26:19 timely [1] - 8:18 **TISI** [1] - 1:19 **TITLE** [1] - 3:6 **TO** [2] - 3:6, 3:7 today [7] - 4:8, 4:16, 6:14, 7:13, 10:25, 24:25, 27:5 together [6] - 12:15, 20:8, 20:9, 20:23, 23:18, 24:14 topics [1] - 8:20 totally [1] - 21:5 **TRANSCRIPT** [2] - 1:5, transcript [1] - 28:9 TRANSCRIPTION [1] transcripts [1] - 25:23 **TRENTON** [1] - 1:9 tried [1] - 11:4 true [1] - 28:9 try [2] - 4:8, 12:16 trying [4] - 7:5, 11:15, 15:1, 15:23 **Tuesday** [3] - 6:17, 7:1, 26:12 **two** [11] - 11:23, 13:6, 13:24, 14:16, 16:2, 18:22, 18:23, 20:6,

U

20:11, 23:23, 26:15

U.S [2] - 1:25, 3:14 **U.S.C** [1] - 3:6 **ugly** [1] - 20:13 ultimately [1] - 6:11 under [1] - 22:15 understandable [1] -25:14 UNITED [2] - 1:1, 1:9 United [1] - 28:6 unless [1] - 20:12 unworkable [1] - 17:18 up [5] - 4:23, 11:15, 24:25, 25:3, 27:5 updated [1] - 5:12 updates [1] - 7:14 USDJ [1] - 1:12 utilized [1] - 6:3

24:13, 24:19, 24:22, 25:2, 25:7, 25:15, 25:19, 26:5, 26:17, 27:4 workable [1] - 17:16 works [2] - 23:2, 23:25

Χ

Xarelto [1] - 17:22

Υ

year [2] - 8:19, 9:14 years [1] - 21:1 yesterday [1] - 4:7

٧

version [1] - 6:17 VINCENT [2] - 1:24, 3:13 Vincent [2] - 28:6, 28:24 VIRGINIA [1] - 1:17 virtually [1] - 22:4

w

wait [2] - 24:4, 24:5

WASHINGTON [1] - 2:9 Wayne [1] - 24:19 **WEDINGER** [1] - 2:10 Wednesday [1] - 22:24 week [11] - 4:22, 4:24, 10:15, 22:23, 22:25, 26:13, 26:14, 26:20, 26:21, 26:24 weekend [1] - 26:19 weeks [1] - 26:15 whichever [1] - 13:1 wholesale [1] - 13:18 **WILENTZ** [1] - 1:20 wishes [1] - 24:22 witnesses [2] - 8:4, 25:20 **WOLFSON** [51] - 1:12, 4:4, 4:20, 5:2, 5:11, 5:22, 6:15, 6:18, 6:21, 6:24, 7:4, 7:12, 7:24, 8:15, 9:3, 9:16, 10:6, 10:13, 10:18, 10:23, 13:13, 13:21, 15:4, 15:7, 15:11, 16:1, 16:8, 16:18, 18:3, 18:13, 19:19, 20:19, 21:4, 21:15, 21:18, 22:17, 22:23, 23:6, 23:21, 24:1, 24:8,